

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-26-90003

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

MEMORANDUM OPINION

(Filed: May 19, 2026)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

This complaint is filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States District Judge (“Subject Judge”). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant is a pro se litigant in a civil suit pending before the Subject Judge. Complainant complains about “repeated procedural irregularities, administrative failures, and prejudicial statements made during unrecorded proceedings, which together have

materially impaired the integrity, transparency, and reviewability of the proceedings.”

Complainant made similar allegations in a recusal motion, which has since been denied.

Although Complainant states that she is not disagreeing with the substance of judicial rulings, it is plain that her allegations are merits-related. Merits-related allegations do not constitute cognizable misconduct under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. Rule 4(b)(1), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Cognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge’s ruling, including a failure to recuse.”). Furthermore, the “misconduct procedure [under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act] is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to, appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for collateral attacks or other challenges to judges’ rulings.” In re Memorandum of Decision of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008). Complainant’s remaining merits-related allegations are subject to dismissal. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.¹

Furthermore, a review of the record reveals no evidence to substantiate Complainant’s claims of judicial misconduct. The fact that certain conferences were not

¹ Complainant also appears to complain about the actions of clerk’s office employees with respect to the docketing of her filings and request for entry of default. Only Judges are subject to the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, and these allegations cannot be addressed here. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i); Rule 1, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

transcribed or recorded is not evidence of judicial misconduct. Indeed, the record does not reflect that any of the parties requested that these conferences be transcribed or recorded.

These allegations are therefore subject to dismissal as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C.

§ 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Based on the foregoing, this complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

s/ Michael A. Chagares

Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-26-90003

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

ORDER

(Filed: May 19, 2026)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

Based on the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) Petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) Time. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) Form. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition.” The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with “I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . .” and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals’ internet site, www.ca3.uscourts.gov.

s/ Michael A. Chagares
Chief Judge

Dated: May 19, 2026