

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. No. 03-25-90136

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

MEMORANDUM OPINION

---

(Filed: March 18, 2026)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

This complaint was filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364, against a United States District Judge (“Subject Judge”). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant, an administrator for a trust, filed a civil suit against a municipal parking authority. He alleges that the parking authority stole a car. Complainant contends that the Subject Judge should not have required that the trust be represented by counsel.

Complainant further challenges the Subject Judge’s jurisdiction and the denial of a remedy, among other allegations.

As a preliminary matter, Complainant’s allegations against the parking authority are not cognizable in these proceedings. Only judges are covered by the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. Rule 1, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i).

Complainant’s efforts to challenge the Subject Judge’s jurisdiction and decisions in the underlying civil suit are merits-related and do not constitute cognizable misconduct. Rule 4(b)(1), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings (“Cognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge’s ruling . . .”). Merits-related allegations are subject to dismissal. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.<sup>1</sup>

To the extent Complainant alleges that the Subject Judge is biased against him because of the Judge’s use of the term “sovereign citizen,” his allegations are also subject to dismissal. A review of the record reveals no evidence of judicial misconduct. All of Complainant’s remaining allegations are therefore subject to dismissal as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

---

<sup>1</sup> Complainant filed an appeal, but it was dismissed for failure to timely prosecute.

Based on the foregoing, the complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C.  
§ 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

s/ Michael A. Chagares  
Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. No. 03-25-90136

---

IN RE: COMPLAINTS OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

ORDER

---

(Filed: March 18, 2026)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

Based on the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) Petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) Time. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) Form. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition.” The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with “I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . .” and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals’ internet site, [www.ca3.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca3.uscourts.gov).

s/ Michael A. Chagares  
Chief Judge

Dated: March 18, 2026