JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. Nos. 03-25-90074 and 03-25-90075

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

MEMORANDUM OPINION

(Filed: October 2, 2025)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

These complaints are filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States District Judge ("Subject Judge I") and a United States Court of Appeals Judge ("Subject Judge II"). For the reasons discussed below, the complaints will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the

¹ Complainant also makes allegations against clerk's office employees, U.S. Marshals, and state court judges. Only allegations against federal judges can be addressed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. Rule 1, <u>Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings</u>; 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i).

merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant alleges that the District Court "setup the Appeal, for Dismissal by a determination for federal jurisdiction." She further alleges that she is being denied her right to appeal and complains about her email filing and CM/ECF privileges being taken away, permitting court clerks to "tamper" with documents. Complainant specifically complains about Subject Judge I's remand order and a "rule to show cause" which she describes as fraudulent. Complainant also contends that Subject Judge II "gave a false statement when [the court order] stated, a plenary review was done." Complainant alleges that racism and classism are the motivation for the rulings against her.²

To the extent Complainant is attempting to challenge the Subject Judges' decisions and procedural rulings, such allegations are merits-related and therefore do not constitute cognizable misconduct. Rule 4(b)(1), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability

Proceedings ("Cognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse."). "The misconduct procedure [under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act] is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to, appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for collateral attacks or other challenges to judges' rulings." In re

Memorandum of Decision of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and

² Complainant also references another Court of Appeals Judge in her complaint who was not named as a Subject Judge. I have considered these allegations under Rule 5 of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings and decline to identify a complaint based upon these frivolous and merits-related allegations.

<u>Disability</u>, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008). Complainant's merits-related allegations are subject to dismissal. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

The record provides no support for Complainant's claims that the Subject Judges' actions reflect bias or misconduct. A review of the record reveals no evidence of judicial misconduct. All of Complainant's remaining allegations are therefore subject to dismissal as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Based on the foregoing, the complaints will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

s/ Michael A. Chagares
Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. Nos. 03-25-90074 and 03-25-90075

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

ORDER

(Filed: October 2, 2025)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

Based on the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaints brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 are hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) <u>Petition</u>. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) <u>Time</u>. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) <u>Form</u>. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition." The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with "I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . ." and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the <u>Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings</u> is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals' internet site, www.ca3.uscourts.gov.

s/ Michael A. Chagares
Chief Judge

Dated: October 2, 2025