

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. Nos. 03-25-90002, 03-25-90003, 03-25-90004

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

MEMORANDUM OPINION

(Filed: January 8, 2025)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

The present complaint is filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against three United States Circuit Judges (“Subject Judge I,” “Subject Judge II,” and “Subject Judge III”). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant's application for disability benefits was denied by an Administrative Law Judge. Complainant sought review in the District Court and the District Court affirmed the Administrative Law Judge's decision. Complainant thereafter appealed and a panel of Third Circuit Court of Appeals Judges made up of Subject Judges I, II, and III affirmed the District Court's decision. In the present complaint, Complainant alleges that the Subject Judges' decision was made "in error" and disputes the Administrative Law Judge's findings.¹ Complainant further contends that the Subject Judges are biased against pro se litigants and that putative "similarities" between his disability benefits case and another civil suit he filed are causing "joint judicial bias."

It is clear Complainant seeks to challenge the validity of the Subject Judges' decision affirming the ruling of the District Court. Such allegations are merits-related and do not constitute cognizable misconduct in judicial misconduct proceedings. See Rule 4(b)(1), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Cognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling . . ."). The misconduct procedure under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act "is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to, appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for collateral attacks or other challenges to judges' rulings." In re Memorandum of Decision of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008).

¹ Administrative Law Judges like the one complained about here are not subject to the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. Accordingly, Complainant's allegations regarding this Judge cannot be addressed. Rule 1, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. See also 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i).

Complainant's allegations are thus subject to dismissal. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

To the extent that any of Complainant's contentions do not qualify as merits-based challenges, Complainant's allegations are subject to dismissal as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. Complainant has provided no evidence of improper conduct or bias by the Subject Judges, nor does a review of the record provide any support for such claims.

Based on the foregoing, the complaints will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

s/ Michael A. Chagares
Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. Nos. 03-25-90002, 03-25-90003, 03-25-90004

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

ORDER

(Filed: January 8, 2025)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) Petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) Time. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) Form. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition.” The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with “I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . .” and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals’ internet site, www.ca3.uscourts.gov.

s/ Michael A. Chagares
Chief Judge

Dated: January 8, 2025