

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. No. 03-24-90113

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

MEMORANDUM OPINION

---

(Filed: January 15, 2025)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

This complaint is filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States District Judge (“Subject Judge”). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant was a defendant in a criminal proceeding before the Subject Judge. After a seven-day trial, a jury found him guilty of numerous counts. The Subject Judge

sentenced Complainant to a lengthy term of imprisonment. Complainant appealed the judgment of conviction, and the Court of Appeals affirmed. Complainant moved to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. The Subject Judge declined to hold an evidentiary hearing and denied the motion. Complainant appealed the denial, and the Court of Appeals declined to issue a certificate of appealability.

Complainant later moved in the District Court for a sentence reduction, which the Subject Judge also denied.

In this complaint of judicial misconduct, Complainant alleges that the Subject Judge made errors during the criminal trial, including permitting the admission of hearsay evidence, declining to dismiss a juror despite an alleged conflict of interest, improperly instructing the jury, and allowing the jurors to reach a verdict despite questions reflecting confusion about certain trial issues. Complainant further alleges that the Subject Judge's actions deprived him of due process and resulted in fraud. Complainant claims that, due to purported trial errors, he should not have been found guilty of any crimes.

Many of Complainant's allegations are not cognizable because they attempt to challenge the merits of judicial decisions. Rule 4(b)(1), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Cognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse."). Complainant's disagreement with the Subject Judge's trial rulings and the judgment of conviction are all merits-related allegations. "The misconduct procedure [under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act] is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to,

appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for collateral attacks or other challenges to judges' rulings." In re Memorandum of Decision of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008). These allegations are subject to dismissal. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Complainant's non-merits-related allegations are unsubstantiated. Complainant offers no evidence to support a claim that the Subject Judge engaged in judicial misconduct, and a careful review of the record reveals none. All remaining allegations are therefore subject to dismissal as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Based on the foregoing, this complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/ Michael A. Chagares  
Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. No. 03-24-90113

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

ORDER

---

(Filed: January 15, 2025)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) Petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) Time. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) Form. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition.” The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with “I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . .” and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals’ internet site, [www.ca3.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca3.uscourts.gov).

s/ Michael A. Chagares  
Chief Judge

Dated: January 15, 2025