

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-24-90010

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

MEMORANDUM OPINION

(Filed: March 22, 2024)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

This complaint is filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States District Judge (“Subject Judge”). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant was a pro se plaintiff in two civil actions that were assigned to the Subject Judge in 2021. The Subject Judge granted a motion to dismiss the first matter for failure to state a claim. Complainant appealed the dismissal, and the Court of Appeals

affirmed the judgment. The Subject Judge dismissed the second matter after the parties settled.

Complainant alleges in this complaint of judicial misconduct that the Subject Judge's "court methodologies . . . exacerbated the challenges [Complainant] face" and "manifested a disconcerting disparity that appears to be systemically entrenched against self-represented litigants [and] racial minorities." In support, Complainant claims that the Subject Judge failed to assist him with scheduling concerns and otherwise deprived him of assistance with judicial procedures. Complainant alleges that the Subject Judge's "maladministration" deprived him of his rights and obstructed the course of justice.

If Complainant is attempting to challenge the merits of the orders dismissing his civil complaints, such allegations are related to the merits of a judicial ruling and do not constitute cognizable misconduct. Rule 4(b)(1), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Cognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse."). Indeed, Complainant pursued an appeal of the dismissal of his first action and did not succeed. This administrative forum does not provide an additional opportunity for substantive review. "The misconduct procedure [under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act] is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to, appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for collateral attacks or other challenges to judges' rulings." In re Memorandum of Decision of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008). Complainant's merits-

related allegations are subject to dismissal. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Complainant’s remaining allegations, when considered apart from his merits-related claims, are entirely unsubstantiated. There is no evidence of bias, discrimination, or “maladministration.” A careful review of the record reveals no evidence of judicial misconduct. Complainant’s claims are thus subject to dismissal as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Based on the foregoing, this complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

s/ Michael A. Chagares
Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-24-90010

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

ORDER

(Filed: March 22, 2024)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) Petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) Time. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) Form. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition.” The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with “I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . .” and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals’ internet site, www.ca3.uscourts.gov.

s/ Michael A. Chagares
Chief Judge

Dated: March 22, 2024