

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. Nos. 03-22-90082, 03-22-90083, 03-22-90084

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

MEMORANDUM OPINION

---

(Filed: December 16, 2022)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

This complaint is filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States District Judge (“Subject Judge I”) and two United States Magistrate Judges (“Subject Judge II” and “Subject Judge III”). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant, a frequent pro se filer, was a plaintiff in two civil cases concerning his allegedly false arrest and subsequent imposition of sexual offender registration

requirements. The first matter was assigned to Subject Judge I and was referred to Subject Judge II. Subject Judge II issued a report and recommendation recommending that the complaint be dismissed for failure to state a claim. Subject Judge I adopted the recommendation and dismissed the complaint. Complainant did not appeal. The second matter, filed two years later, was again assigned to Subject Judge I and was referred to Subject Judge II. At Subject Judge II's recommendation, Subject Judge I dismissed the complaint as frivolous and for failure to state a claim. Again, Complainant did not appeal. Subject Judge III was not involved in the two identified matters but has overseen several of Complainant's more recent pro se proceedings.

In this complaint of judicial misconduct, Complainant alleges that the three Subject Judges "stalk[ed]" him, sent United States Marshals "to harass" him and "provoke [him] into breaking the law," and forced him to become homeless. Complainant also alleges that the decisions by Subject Judges I and II to dismiss his complaints constitute obstruction of justice. Complainant accuses the Subject Judges of committing perjury and alleges that they "are going to prison for human rights violations."

To the extent the complaint is intended to collaterally challenge rulings rendered by the Subject Judges, including the dismissal of the two identified pro se actions, the allegations are merits related and do not constitute cognizable misconduct. Rule 4(b)(1), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings ("Cognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse."). Complainant's merits-related allegations are subject to

dismissal. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Complainant's non-merits-related claims lack substantiation. There is no evidence that the Subject Judges stalked or harassed complainant or otherwise engaged in any form of judicial misconduct. Such allegations are therefore subject to dismissal as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Based on the foregoing, the complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

s/ Michael A. Chagares  
Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. Nos. 03-22-90082, 03-22-90083, 03-22-90084

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

ORDER

---

(Filed: December 16, 2022)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) Petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) Time. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) Form. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition.” The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with “I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . .” and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals’ internet site, [www.ca3.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca3.uscourts.gov).

s/ Michael A. Chagares  
Chief Judge

Dated: December 16, 2022