JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-22-90065

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

MEMORANDUM OPINION

(Filed: October 12, 2022)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

This complaint is filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States District Judge ("Subject Judge"). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant filed a pro se civil rights complaint in 2018 that was assigned to the Subject Judge. After multiple amendments, the Subject Judge granted the defendants' motions to dismiss and closed the case. Complainant appealed. A panel of the Court of

Appeals affirmed the judgment. The Court of Appeals denied Complainant's petition for rehearing. The matter has been closed for nearly three years.

Complainant submitted a lengthy complainant of judicial misconduct accompanied by voluminous exhibits. In it, Complainant provides a detailed recounting of the underlying civil case and opines that the Subject Judge failed to adequately evaluate the facts and law, abused her discretion, and engaged in obstruction of justice and fraud on the court. He claims the Subject Judge is biased against him based on his race and status as a registered sex offender. This purported bias allegedly motivated the Subject Judge to dismiss Complainant's meritorious case in order to prevent him from benefitting financially from his civil suit.

To the extent the allegations of the complaint present a challenge to the merits of the judgment dismissing the civil case, the allegations do not constitute cognizable misconduct. Rule 4(b)(1), <u>Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings</u> ("Cognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse."). Merits-related allegations are subject to dismissal. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B), <u>Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings</u>. Indeed, Complainant unsuccessfully appealed the judgment. The Court of Appeals' affirmance is not subject to collateral challenge in this administrative proceeding. "The misconduct procedure [under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act] is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to, appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for

collateral attacks or other challenges to judges' rulings." <u>In re Memorandum of Decision</u> <u>of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability</u>, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008).

Complainant's non-merits-related claims of bias, obstruction of justice, fraud, and other forms of judicial misconduct rely solely on Complainant's disagreements with the merits of judicial decisions and are therefore lacking in evidentiary support. In addition, Complainant's allegations concerning the Subject Judge's supposed improper motive in rendering her judgment are based on nothing more than speculation and conjecture. The record provides no evidence to substantiate Complainant's claims. Complainant's remaining allegations are therefore subject to dismissal as unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(D), <u>Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings</u>.

Based on the foregoing, the complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

> s/ Michael A. Chagares Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-22-90065

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

ORDER

(Filed: October 12, 2022)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND

ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby

dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is

notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and

Judicial-Disability Proceedings, of the right to appeal this decision by the following

procedure:

Rule 18(a) <u>Petition</u>. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) <u>Time</u>. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) <u>Form</u>. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition." The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with "I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . ." and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings

is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals'

internet site, www.ca3.uscourts.gov.

s/ Michael A. Chagares Chief Judge

Dated: October 12, 2022