JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-22-90017

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

MEMORANDUM OPINION

(Filed: April 28, 2022)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

This complaint is filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States District Judge ("Subject Judge"). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant was a criminal defendant before the Subject Judge. Nearly a decade ago, Complainant entered a guilty plea and the Subject Judge imposed a lengthy term of imprisonment as well as a period of supervised release. Recently, at the request of Complainant's probation officer, the Subject Judge entered an order modifying the terms of supervised release without Complainant's consent. Complainant has appealed the modification order. The appeal is pending, although it has been listed for possible dismissal due to a jurisdictional defect.

In this complaint of judicial misconduct, Complainant alleges that the Subject Judge's modification order violates the terms of his plea agreement and unfairly imposes a new condition upon Complainant. Complainant therefore requests that the Subject Judge be removed from his case.

Because the allegations of the complaint challenge the merits of the Subject Judge's modification order, the allegations are merits related and do not constitute cognizable misconduct. Rule 4(b)(1), <u>Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability</u> <u>Proceedings</u> ("Cognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse."). "The misconduct procedure [under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act] is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to, appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for collateral attacks or other challenges to judges' rulings." <u>In re</u> <u>Memorandum of Decision of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and</u> <u>Disability</u>, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008). Merits-related allegations are subject to dismissal.¹ See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B), <u>Rules</u> for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

To the extent Complainant presents any non-merits-related claims, the allegations are unsubstantiated. The record does not support a conclusion that the Subject Judge engaged in any form of judicial misconduct. Accordingly, the allegations are also subject to dismissal as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), <u>Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings</u>.

Based on the foregoing, this complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

> s/ Michael A. Chagares Chief Judge

¹ Although Complainant requests recusal in this administrative proceeding, a review of the docket in Complainant's criminal case reveals that Complainant never filed a motion seeking that relief. A request for recusal must be presented to the presiding judge in the first instance. A substantive decision rendered on a recusal motion is merits-related and non-cognizable. See Rule 4(b)(1), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-22-90017

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

ORDER

(Filed: April 28, 2022)

PRESENT: CHAGARES, Chief Judge.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND

ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby

dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is

notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, Rules for Judicial-Conduct and

Judicial-Disability Proceedings, of the right to appeal this decision by the following

procedure:

Rule 18(a) <u>Petition</u>. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) <u>Time</u>. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) <u>Form</u>. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition." The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with "I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . ." and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings

is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals'

internet site, www.ca3.uscourts.gov.

s/ Michael A. Chagares Chief Judge

Dated: April 28, 2022