JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. Nos. 03-21-90039 and 03-21-90040

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

MEMORANDUM OPINION

(Filed: September 28, 2021)

PRESENT: SMITH, Chief Judge.

This complaint is filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States District Judge ("Subject Judge I") and a United States Magistrate Judge ("Subject Judge II"). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant is the mother of a pro se prisoner who was denied parole and filed an unsuccessful habeas petition. Subject Judge II issued the report and recommendation

recommending denial of the petition and Subject Judge I issued a memorandum opinion adopting Subject Judge II's report and recommendation and denying the petition.

It is apparent that Complainant's allegations reflect her dissatisfaction with the merits of judicial decisions and rulings in her son's case. Allegations disputing the merits of judicial rulings do not, however, constitute cognizable misconduct under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. "Cognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse." Rule 4(b)(1), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. The "misconduct procedure [under the Act] is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to, appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for collateral attacks or other challenges to judges' rulings." In re Memorandum of Decision of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008). Accordingly, Complainant's non-cognizable allegations are subject to dismissal. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. Notably, Complainant's son filed a request for a certificate of appealability and the request was denied by a panel of Third Circuit judges.

To the extent Complainant's allegations are not merits-related, they are baseless.

Complainant makes various allegations regarding her son's medical care and an accusation of misconduct by prison staff, but provides no evidence of any misconduct on

the part of the Subject Judges.¹ Accordingly, Complainant's remaining allegations are subject to dismissal as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Based on the foregoing, this complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

s/ D. Brooks Smith
Chief Judge

¹ To the extent Complainant seeks to make allegations of misconduct concerning prison employees and other individuals who are not federal judges, her allegations cannot be addressed in these proceedings. Rule 1, *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*; 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i).

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. Nos. 03-21-90039 and 03-21-90040

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

ORDER

(Filed: September 28, 2021)

PRESENT: SMITH, Chief Judge.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) <u>Petition</u>. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) <u>Time</u>. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) <u>Form</u>. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition." The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with "I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . ." and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability*Proceedings is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals' internet site, www.ca3.uscourts.gov.

s/ D. Brooks Smith
Chief Judge

Dated: September 28, 2021