

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-19-90052

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

MEMORANDUM OPINION

(Filed: October 17, 2019)

PRESENT: SMITH, *Chief Judge*.

This complaint is filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States District Judge (the “Subject Judge”). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant filed a pro se civil rights complaint that was assigned to the Subject Judge. The Subject Judge dismissed the complaint with leave to amend one claim. Rather than amend, Complainant appealed. The appeal remains pending.

Although the allegations of this complaint of judicial misconduct are not easy to interpret, it appears that Complainant contests the order dismissing his complaint. He alleges, for instance, that the Subject Judge “dismantle[d]” his claims by “undermining and sabotaging Fruitful Merit, of Federal violations.”

“Cognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge’s ruling, including a failure to recuse.” Rule 4(b)(1), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*. Thus, to the extent Complainant challenges the merits of the Subject Judge’s order dismissing the complaint, the allegations do not constitute cognizable misconduct under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. Indeed, as previously noted, Complainant’s appeal of that order remains pending. This administrative proceeding is not an appropriate forum for a collateral attack of the merits of the order under appeal. Complainant’s merits-related allegations are therefore subject to dismissal. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 4(b)(1), 11(c)(1)(B), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*.

Complainant also alleges various other forms of judicial misconduct, including “bench impropriety,” “racial intimidation,” “racial profiling,” “egregious imprudence,” and “deceptional [*sic*] tact’s [*sic*].” Complainant does not explain the factual basis for any of these claims, and a careful review of the record reveals no evidentiary support. Accordingly, Complainant’s remaining allegations will be dismissed as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28

U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*.

Based on the foregoing, this complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

s/ D. Brooks Smith
Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-19-90052

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

ORDER

(Filed: October 17, 2019)

PRESENT: SMITH, *Chief Judge*.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) Petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) Time. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) Form. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition.” The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with “I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . .” and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability*

Proceedings is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of

Appeals’ internet site, www.ca3.uscourts.gov.

s/ D. Brooks Smith

Chief Judge

Dated: October 17, 2019