

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. Nos. 03-18-90179, 03-18-90180, 03-18-90181, 03-18-90182

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

MEMORANDUM OPINION

---

(Filed: October 4, 2018)

PRESENT: SMITH, *Chief Judge*.

This complaint is filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States District Judge (“Subject Judge I”) and three United States Circuit Judges (“Subject Judge II,” “Subject Judge III,” and “Subject Judge IV”). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge “has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts.” 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

In 2012, Complainant filed a pro se complaint against her former employer claiming, *inter alia*, discrimination, failure to accommodate a disability, and retaliation.

Ultimately, in 2014, Subject Judge I granted summary judgment to the employer. Complainant twice sought reconsideration, which Subject Judge I denied. Complainant appealed. A panel comprised of Subject Judge II, III, and IV dismissed the appeal in part for lack of jurisdiction, and affirmed in part to the extent of its jurisdiction. Complainant attempted to petition for certiorari in the Supreme Court, but that Court returned her petition as untimely filed.

In lieu of providing a statement of facts in support of her complaint of judicial misconduct, Complainant has simply provided copies of several court submissions, including documents that Complainant filed in the United States Supreme Court and in the Court of Appeals. In those submissions, Complainant provides arguments as to why, in her view, the decisions rendered by the Subject Judges were in error.

To the extent Complainant's inclusion of her various court submissions is intended as a challenge to decisions rendered by the Subject Judges, the allegations are merits-related. Rule 3(h)(3)(A), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings* ("An allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse, without more, is merits-related."). Merits-related allegations do not constitute cognizable misconduct under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Rules 3(h)(3)(A), 11(c)(1)(B), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*. Accordingly, all merits-related allegations will be dismissed.

Complainant has not articulated any non-merits-related allegations of judicial misconduct. Upon review, it is apparent that the record in this matter offers no evidentiary support for a claim of judicial misconduct. Accordingly, any remaining allegations are subject to dismissal as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*.

Based on the foregoing, this complaint will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

s/ D. Brooks Smith  
Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

J.C. Nos. 03-18-90179, 03-18-90180, 03-18-90181, 03-18-90182

---

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT  
OR DISABILITY

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

---

ORDER

---

(Filed: October 4, 2018)

PRESENT: SMITH, *Chief Judge*.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) Petition. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) Time. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) Form. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition.” The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with “I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . .” and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability*

*Proceedings* is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of

Appeals’ internet site, [www.ca3.uscourts.gov](http://www.ca3.uscourts.gov).

s/ D. Brooks Smith  
Chief Judge

Dated: October 4, 2018