JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-16-90038

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

MEMORANDUM OPINION

(Filed: October 7, 2016)

PRESENT: SMITH, Chief Judge.

This is a complaint filed under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-64, against a United States District Judge (the "Subject Judge"). For the reasons discussed below, the complaint will be dismissed.

The Judicial Conduct and Disability Act provides a remedy if a federal judge "has engaged in conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts." 28 U.S.C. § 351(a). A chief judge may dismiss a complaint if, after review, he or she finds it is not cognizable under the statute, is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling, or is frivolous or lacks sufficient evidence to raise an inference of misconduct. 28 U.S.C. §§ 352(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iii).

Complainant was a defendant in a criminal proceeding before the Subject Judge. After a jury trial, Complainant was convicted of making false statements on a loan application and other crimes, and the Subject Judge sentenced her to a lengthy term of imprisonment. Complainant appealed and the Court of Appeals affirmed. Complainant, through counsel, filed a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to set aside, vacate, or correct the sentence, which remains pending before the Subject Judge.

In this complaint of judicial misconduct, Complainant presents a number of arguments attempting to collaterally challenge her criminal conviction and sentence. Among other things, she contends that the superseding indictment failed to charge a federal offense, that the district court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over her case, and that the jury's verdict was defective. These allegations clearly are merits-related. Rule 3(h)(3)(A), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings* ("An allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse, without more, is merits-related."). Merits-related allegations do not constitute cognizable misconduct under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) (chief judge may dismiss a complaint if he or she finds that it is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling); Rule 11(c)(1)(B), *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings* (a complaint must be dismissed in

¹ In addition, Complainant presents allegations of misconduct on the part of attorneys for the prosecution. Because those individuals are not judges and therefore are not covered by the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, such allegations will not be addressed in this opinion. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 351, 352(b)(1)(A)(i); Rule 4, *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*.

whole or in part to the extent that the chief judge concludes that the complaint is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling).

This administrative proceeding is not an appropriate forum for pursuing merits-related allegations. The "misconduct procedure [under the Act] is not designed as a substitute for, or supplement to, appeals or motions for reconsideration. Nor is it designed to provide an avenue for collateral attacks or other challenges to judges' rulings." *In re Memorandum of Decision of Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability*, 517 F.3d 558, 561 (U.S. Jud. Conf. 2008). Accordingly, Complainant's merits-related allegations will be dismissed.

Complainant presents a litany of additional allegations, including vague claims that the Subject Judge is biased against her, deprived her of due process, lied to the jury about her, fabricated evidence, and is involved in a conspiracy against her. When considered apart from Complainant's merits-related claims – which do not provide evidence in support of any of these allegations – it is apparent that the allegations are based on nothing more than Complainant's personal suspicion and subjective belief. The record provides nothing whatsoever to substantiate any of these claims. Accordingly, Complainant's remaining allegations are subject to dismissal as frivolous and unsupported by evidence that would raise an inference that misconduct has occurred. 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Rule 11(c)(1)(C), (D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

	Based on the	foregoing, the	ne complaint	will be dis	missed pursu	ant to 28	U.S.C.
§§ 35	2(b)(1)(A)(i),	(ii), and (iii).					

s/ D. Brooks Smith
Chief Judge

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT

J.C. No. 03-16-90038

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 351

ORDER

(Filed: October 7, 2016)

PRESENT: SMITH, Chief Judge.

On the basis of the foregoing opinion entered on this date, it is ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the written complaint brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351 is hereby dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(i), (ii), and (iii).

This order constitutes a final order under 28 U.S.C. § 352(c). Complainant is notified in accordance with Rules 11(g)(3) and 18, *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings*, of the right to appeal this decision by the following procedure:

Rule 18(a) <u>Petition</u>. A complainant or subject judge may petition the Judicial Council of the Third Circuit for review.

Rule 18(b) <u>Time</u>. A petition for review must be filed in the Office of the Circuit Executive within **42 days** after the date of the chief judge's order.

18(b) <u>Form</u>. The petition should be in letter form, addressed to the Circuit Executive, and in an envelope marked "Misconduct Petition" or "Disability

Petition." The name of the subject judge must not be shown on the envelope. The letter should be typewritten or otherwise legible. It should begin with "I hereby petition the judicial council for review of . . ." and state the reasons why the petition should be granted. It must be signed. There is no need to enclose a copy of the original complaint.

The full text of the *Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability*Proceedings is available from the Office of the Circuit Executive and on the Court of Appeals' internet site, www.ca3.uscourts.gov.

s/ D. Brooks Smith
Chief Judge

Dated: October 7, 2016